

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,772	08/02/2004	Arthur G. Rodgers	04-0558	4771
24319 7	590 08/22/2006		EXAM	INER
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION		DWIVEDI, N	MAHESH H	
1621 BARBEF	R LANE		,	
MS: D-106			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITAS, CA 95035		2168		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/710,772	RODGERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mahesh H. Dwivedi	2168			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Au</u>					
,—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	v				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the defining copies not receive				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The examiner notes that there are several examples in the instant application where a reference numeral is spelled as "and reference numeral". For example, in paragraph 27, "and 208" is listed constantly and should be changed to "and 208". The examiner notes that there are several other instances of the same typographical error with respect to other reference numerals. For example, paragraphs 28-30 and 32-34 contains very similar errors. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2168

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 3. Claims 1-17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Nakayama et al.** (U.S. Patent 6,907,001) and in view of **Erimli et al.** (U.S. Patent 6,842,423).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, **Nakayama** teaches a method comprising:
- A) receiving a plurality of queue items at an input queue (Column 4, lines 51-62, Figure 1);
- B) wherein the input queue feeds a plurality of output queues that feed one or more output ports (Column 4, lines 32-40, Figure 1);
- C) determining whether a particular one of a plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds a pre-determined amount (Column 4, lines 51-67-Column 5, lines 1-11); and
- D) in response to a determination that the particular one of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds the predetermined amount, preventing any queue items that have a same corresponding output port as the particular one of the plurality of output queues and that have a queue item priority greater than or equal to the queue priority of the particular one of the plurality of output queues from exiting the input queue (Column 4, lines 63-67-Column 5, lines 1-11).

Art Unit: 2168

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "receiving a plurality of queue items at an input queue" as "a plurality of packets destined for the same output port are input simultaneously partially over a time base from a plurality of input lines" (Column 4, lines 52-54). The examiner further notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein the input queue feeds a plurality of output queues that feed one or more output ports" as "sending the cells to switch input ports LI (LI-1 to LI-n); a switching unit 3 having a plurality of input ports LI-1 to LI-n and output ports LO-1 to LO-n and switching the input cells from the input ports to any one of the output ports specified by the routing information" (Column 4, lines 35-40). The examiner further notes that Nakayama teaches "determining whether a particular one of a plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds a pre-determined amount" as "the quantity of stored cells destined for a specified output port exceeds a predetermined threshold value within the switch, the input line interfaces sending the cells destined for the specified output port selectively inhibits the forwarding or sending out of cells according to the order of priority of the cells in response to the notice of congestion informed to each of the input line interfaces from the congestion notifier 4 by way of the signal line 19" (Column 4, lines 54-62). The examiner further notes that Nakayama teaches "in response to a determination that the particular one of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds the predetermined amount, preventing any queue items that have a same corresponding output port as the particular one of the plurality of output queues and that have a queue item priority greater than or equal to the queue priority of

Art Unit: 2168

the particular one of the plurality of output queues from exiting the input queue" as "the quantity of stored cells destined for a specified output port exceeds a predetermined threshold value within the switch, the input line interfaces sending the cells destined for the specified output port selectively inhibits the forwarding or sending out of cells according to the order of priority of the cells in response to the notice of congestion informed to each of the input line interfaces from the congestion notifier 4 by way of the signal line 19" (Column 4, lines 54-62) and "upon reaching a second threshold Th2, the high priority cells destined for the specified output port are also prohibited from flowing into the switching unit 3" (Column 5, lines 2-5).

Nakayama does not explicitly teach:

- E) wherein each of the plurality of queue items has a corresponding queue item priority and a corresponding output port from the one or more output ports; and
- F) wherein each of the plurality of output queues at an output port has a corresponding queue priority.

Erimli, however, teaches "wherein each of the plurality of queue items has a corresponding queue item priority and a corresponding output port from the one or more output ports" as "The output queues 310 may include priority queues 312-318. The priority queue 312 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 0 (low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 314 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 1 (medium-low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 316 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 2 (medium-high priority) that

Art Unit: 2168

await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 318 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 3 (high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port" (Column 7, lines 4-16) and "wherein each of the plurality of output queues at an output port has a corresponding queue priority" as "The output queues 310 may include priority queues 312-318. The priority queue 312 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 0 (low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 314 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 1 (medium-low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 316 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 2 (medium-high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 318 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 3 (high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 318 may store

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Erimli's** would have allowed **Nakayama's** to provide a method to mask certain priorities to prevent other (possibly higher) priorities from being flow controlled, as noted by **Erimli** (Column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 2, **Nakayama** does not explicitly teach a method comprising:

A) wherein the pre-determined amount is a capacity of the particular one of the plurality of output queues.

Art Unit: 2168

**Erimli**, however, teaches "wherein the pre-determined amount is a capacity of the particular one of the plurality of output queues" as "When the number of items of each particular priority in an output queue 310 exceeds the threshold, the output queue 310 generates a threshold signal" (Column 7, lines 26-28)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Erimli's** would have allowed **Nakayama's** to provide a method to mask certain priorities to prevent other (possibly higher) priorities from being flow controlled, as noted by **Erimli** (Column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 3, **Nakayama** further teaches a method comprising:

A) wherein the queue items are packets in a packet-switching fabric (Column 4, lines 32-50).

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein the queue items are packets in a packet-switching fabric" as "a packet switch comprises a plurality of input line interfaces 1 (1—1 to t-n) connected to input lines...(LI-1 to LI-n)" (Column 4, lines 32-36).

Regarding claim 4, **Nakayama** further teaches a method comprising:

A) wherein, upon exit of a packet from one of the plurality of output queues, the packet is transmitted over the packet-switching fabric (Column 4, lines 32-50).

Art Unit: 2168

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein, upon exit of a packet from one of the plurality of output queues, the packet is transmitted over the packet-switching fabric" as "a plurality of output line interfaces 2 (2-1 to w-n) each connected to one of the switch output ports to restore the original IP packet from the cells received from the output port and send that IP packet to the output line OUT (OUT-1 to OUT-n) associated therewith" (Column 4, lines 40-45).

Regarding claim 5, **Nakayama** further teaches a method comprising:

A) wherein if any of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds the pre-determined amount, no queue items are allowed to exit the input queue until all of the plurality of output queues contain numbers of queue items that are less than the pre-determined amount (Column 5, lines 5-11).

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein if any of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds the predetermined amount, no queue items are allowed to exit the input queue until all of the plurality of output queues contain numbers of queue items that are less than the pre-determined amount" as "and once the number of cells stored for the specified output port has sufficiently decreased due to prohibiting the supply of cells to the switching unit, the suppression of the input of cells to the switch unit may be cancelled to once again allow the cells to flow into the switching unit 3 in the order of high priority first" (Column 4, lines 5-11).

Art Unit: 2168

Regarding claim 6, **Nakayama** does not explicitly teach a method comprising:

A) wherein the pre-determined amount is a capacity of the particular one of the plurality of output queues.

of the particular one of the plurality of output queues" as "When the number of items of each particular priority in an output queue 310 exceeds the threshold, the output queue 310 generates a threshold signal" (Column 7, lines 26-28)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Erimli's** would have allowed **Nakayama's** to provide a method to mask certain priorities to prevent other (possibly higher) priorities from being flow controlled, as noted by **Erimli** (Column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 7, **Nakayama** teaches a computer program product comprising:

A) receiving a plurality of queue items at an input queue (Column 4, lines 51-62, Figure 1);

- B) wherein the input queue feeds a plurality of output queues that feed one or more output ports (Column 4, lines 32-40, Figure 1);
- C) determining whether a particular one of a plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds a pre-determined amount (Column 4, lines 51-67-Column 5, lines 1-11); and

D) in response to a determination that the particular one of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds the predetermined amount, preventing any queue items that have a same corresponding output port as the particular one of the plurality of output queues and that have a queue item priority greater than or equal to the queue priority of the particular one of the plurality of output queues from exiting the input queue (Column 4, lines 63-67-Column 5, lines 1-11).

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "receiving a plurality of queue items at an input queue" as "a plurality of packets destined for the same output port are input simultaneously partially over a time base from a plurality of input lines" (Column 4, lines 52-54). The examiner further notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein the input queue feeds a plurality of output queues that feed one or more output ports" as "sending the cells to switch input ports LI (LI-1 to LI-n); a switching unit 3 having a plurality of input ports LI-1 to LI-n and output ports LO-1 to LO-n and switching the input cells from the input ports to any one of the output ports specified by the routing information" (Column 4, lines 35-40). The examiner further notes that Nakayama teaches "determining whether a particular one of a plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds a pre-determined amount" as "the quantity of stored cells destined for a specified output port exceeds a predetermined threshold value within the switch, the input line interfaces sending the cells destined for the specified output port selectively inhibits the forwarding or sending out of cells according to the order of priority of the cells in response to the notice of congestion informed to each of the input line interfaces from the congestion notifier 4 by

way of the signal line 19" (Column 4, lines 54-62). The examiner further notes that Nakayama teaches "in response to a determination that the particular one of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds the predetermined amount, preventing any queue items that have a same corresponding output port as the particular one of the plurality of output queues and that have a queue item priority greater than or equal to the queue priority of the particular one of the plurality of output queues from exiting the input queue" as "the quantity of stored cells destined for a specified output port exceeds a predetermined threshold value within the switch, the input line interfaces sending the cells destined for the specified output port selectively inhibits the forwarding or sending out of cells according to the order of priority of the cells in response to the notice of congestion informed to each of the input line interfaces from the congestion notifier 4 by way of the signal line 19" (Column 4, lines 54-62) and "upon reaching a second threshold Th2, the high priority cells destined for the specified output port are also prohibited from flowing into the switching unit 3" (Column 5, lines 2-5).

## Nakayama does not explicitly teach:

- E) wherein each of the plurality of queue items has a corresponding queue item priority and a corresponding output port from the one or more output ports; and
- F) wherein each of the plurality of output queues at an output port has a corresponding queue priority.

Erimli, however, teaches "wherein each of the plurality of queue items has a corresponding queue item priority and a corresponding output port from the one

or more output ports" as "The output queues 310 may include priority queues 312-318. The priority gueue 312 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 0 (low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 314 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 1 (medium-low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 316 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 2 (medium-high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 318 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 3 (high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port" (Column 7, lines 4-16) and "wherein each of the plurality of output queues at an output port has a corresponding queue priority" as "The output queues 310 may include priority queues 312-318. The priority queue 312 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 0 (low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 314 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 1 (medium-low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 316 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 2 (medium-high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 318 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 3 (high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port" (Column 7, lines 4-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Erimli's** would have allowed **Nakayama's** to provide a method to mask certain priorities

Art Unit: 2168

to prevent other (possibly higher) priorities from being flow controlled, as noted by **Erimli** (Column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 8, **Nakayama** does not explicitly teach a computer program product comprising:

A) wherein the pre-determined amount is a capacity of the particular one of the plurality of output queues.

**Erimli**, however, teaches "wherein the pre-determined amount is a capacity of the particular one of the plurality of output queues" as "When the number of items of each particular priority in an output queue 310 exceeds the threshold, the output queue 310 generates a threshold signal" (Column 7, lines 26-28)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Erimli's** would have allowed **Nakayama's** to provide a method to mask certain priorities to prevent other (possibly higher) priorities from being flow controlled, as noted by **Erimli** (Column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 9, **Nakayama** further teaches a computer program product comprising:

A) wherein the queue items are packets in a packet-switching fabric (Column 4, lines 32-50).

Art Unit: 2168

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein the queue items are packets in a packet-switching fabric" as "a packet switch comprises a plurality of input line interfaces 1 (1—1 to t-n) connected to input lines...(LI-1 to LI-n)" (Column 4, lines 32-36).

Regarding claim 10, **Nakayama** further teaches a computer program product comprising:

A) wherein, upon exit of a packet from one of the plurality of output queues, the packet is transmitted over the packet-switching fabric (Column 4, lines 32-50).

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein, upon exit of a packet from one of the plurality of output queues, the packet is transmitted over the packet-switching fabric" as "a plurality of output line interfaces 2 (2-1 to w-n) each connected to one of the switch output ports to restore the original IP packet from the cells received from the output port and send that IP packet to the output line OUT (OUT-1 to OUT-n) associated therewith" (Column 4, lines 40-45).

Regarding claim 11, **Nakayama** further teaches a computer program product comprising:

A) wherein if any of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds the pre-determined amount, no queue items are allowed to exit the input queue until all of the plurality of output queues contain numbers of queue items that are less than the pre-determined amount (Column 5, lines 5-11).

Art Unit: 2168

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein if any of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds the predetermined amount, no queue items are allowed to exit the input queue until all of the plurality of output queues contain numbers of queue items that are less than the pre-determined amount" as "and once the number of cells stored for the specified output port has sufficiently decreased due to prohibiting the supply of cells to the switching unit, the suppression of the input of cells to the switch unit may be cancelled to once again allow the cells to flow into the switching unit 3 in the order of high priority first" (Column 4, lines 5-11).

Regarding claim 12, Nakayama teaches a queuing system comprising:

- A) an input queue (Column 4, lines 51-62, Figure 1);
- B) a plurality of output queues (Column 4, lines 32-40, Figure 1);
- C) wherein each of the plurality of output queues receives queue items from a head of the input queue (Column 4, lines 32-50)
- D) wherein if a particular one of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds a pre-determined amount, no queue items that have a same corresponding output port as the particular one of the plurality of output queues and that have a queue item priority that is greater than or equal to that of the particular one of the plurality of output queues are allowed to exit the input queue until the particular one of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that is less than the pre-determined amount (Column 4, lines 63-67-Column 5, lines 1-11).

Art Unit: 2168

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "an input queue" as "a plurality of packets destined for the same output port are input simultaneously partially over a time base from a plurality of input lines" (Column 4, lines 52-54). The examiner further notes that Nakayama teaches "a plurality of output queues" as "sending the cells to switch input ports LI (LI-1 to LI-n); a switching unit 3 having a plurality of input ports LI-1 to LI-n and output ports LO-1 to LO-n and switching the input cells from the input ports to any one of the output ports specified by the routing information" (Column 4, lines 35-40). The examiner further notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein each of the plurality of output queues receives queue items from a head of the input queue" as "specified by the routing information contained in each of the cell headers" (Column 4, lines 39-40). The examiner further notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein if a particular one of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds a pre-determined amount, no queue items that have a same corresponding output port as the particular one of the plurality of output queues and that have a queue item priority that is greater than or equal to that of the particular one of the plurality of output queues are allowed to exit the input queue until the particular one of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that is less than the pre-determined amount" as "the quantity of stored cells destined for a specified output port exceeds a predetermined threshold value within the switch, the input line interfaces sending the cells destined for the specified output port selectively inhibits the forwarding or sending out of cells according to the order of priority of the cells in response to the notice of congestion informed to each of

Art Unit: 2168

the input line interfaces from the congestion notifier 4 by way of the signal line 19" (Column 4, lines 54-62) and "upon reaching a second threshold Th2, the high priority cells destined for the specified output port are also prohibited from flowing into the switching unit 3" (Column 5, lines 2-5).

Nakayama does not explicitly teach:

E) wherein each of the plurality of output queues is associated with a corresponding queue priority and a corresponding output port.

associated with a corresponding queue priority and a corresponding output port" as "The output queues 310 may include priority queues 312-318. The priority queue 312 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 0 (low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 314 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 1 (medium-low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 316 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 2 (medium-high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 318 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 3 (high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority that await transmission from the corresponding output port, lines 4-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Erimli's** would have allowed **Nakayama's** to provide a method to mask certain priorities

Art Unit: 2168

to prevent other (possibly higher) priorities from being flow controlled, as noted by Erimli (Column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 13, Nakayama does not explicitly teach a queuing system comprising:

A) wherein the pre-determined amount is a capacity of the particular one of the plurality of output queues.

Erimli, however, teaches "wherein the pre-determined amount is a capacity of the particular one of the plurality of output queues" as "When the number of items of each particular priority in an output queue 310 exceeds the threshold, the output queue 310 generates a threshold signal" (Column 7, lines 26-28)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching Erimli's would have allowed Nakayama's to provide a method to mask certain priorities to prevent other (possibly higher) priorities from being flow controlled, as noted by Erimli (Column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 14, Nakayama further teaches a queuing system comprising: A) wherein the queue items are packets in a packet-switching fabric (Column 4, lines 32-50).

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein the queue items are packets in a packet-switching fabric" as "a packet switch comprises a plurality of

Art Unit: 2168

input line interfaces 1 (1—1 to t-n) connected to input lines...(LI-1 to LI-n)" (Column 4, lines 32-36).

Regarding claim 15, **Nakayama** further teaches a queuing system comprising:

A) wherein, upon exit of a packet from one of the plurality of output queues, the packet is transmitted over the packet-switching fabric (Column 4, lines 32-50).

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein, upon exit of a packet from one of the plurality of output queues, the packet is transmitted over the packet-switching fabric" as "a plurality of output line interfaces 2 (2-1 to w-n) each connected to one of the switch output ports to restore the original IP packet from the cells received from the output port and send that IP packet to the output line OUT (OUT-1 to OUT-n) associated therewith" (Column 4, lines 40-45).

Regarding claim 16, **Nakayama** further teaches a queuing system comprising:

A) wherein if any of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds the pre-determined amount, no queue items are allowed to exit the input queue until all of the plurality of output queues contain numbers of queue items that are less than the pre-determined amount (Column 5, lines 5-11).

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein if any of the plurality of output queues contains a number of queue items that meets or exceeds the predetermined amount, no queue items are allowed to exit the input queue until all of the plurality of output queues contain numbers of queue items that are less than

Art Unit: 2168

the pre-determined amount" as "and once the number of cells stored for the specified

switching unit, the suppression of the input of cells to the switch unit may be cancelled

output port has sufficiently decreased due to prohibiting the supply of cells to the

to once again allow the cells to flow into the switching unit 3 in the order of high priority

first" (Column 4, lines 5-11).

Regarding claim 17, Nakayama does not explicitly teach a queuing system

comprising:

A) wherein the pre-determined amount is a capacity of the particular one of the plurality

of output queues.

Erimli, however, teaches "wherein the pre-determined amount is a capacity

of the particular one of the plurality of output queues" as "When the number of

items of each particular priority in an output queue 310 exceeds the threshold, the

output queue 310 generates a threshold signal" (Column 7, lines 26-28)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching

Erimli's would have allowed Nakayama's to provide a method to mask certain priorities

to prevent other (possibly higher) priorities from being flow controlled, as noted by

Erimli (Column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 19, Nakayama does not explicitly teach a queuing system

comprising:

Art Unit: 2168

A) wherein each of the plurality of output queues receives only those queue items that have a queue item priority that matches the queue priority of that output queue.

receives only those queue items that have a queue item priority that matches the queue priority of that output queue" as "The output queues 310 may include priority queues 312-318. The priority queue 312 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 0 (low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 314 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 1 (medium-low priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 316 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 2 (medium-high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 318 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 3 (high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 318 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 3 (high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. The priority queue 318 may store forwarding descriptors for packets of priority 3 (high priority) that await transmission from the corresponding output port. (Column 7, lines 4-16)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Erimli's** would have allowed **Nakayama's** to provide a method to mask certain priorities to prevent other (possibly higher) priorities from being flow controlled, as noted by **Erimli** (Column 1, lines 40-46).

Regarding claim 20, **Nakayama** further teaches a queuing system comprising:

A) wherein no queue item may exit one of the plurality of output queues if there is a non-empty higher-priority output queue (Column 5, lines 9-11, Column 6, lines 12-15).

Art Unit: 2168

The examiner notes that Nakayama teaches "wherein no queue item may exit one of the plurality of output queues if there is a non-empty higher-priority output queue" as "one again allow the cells to flow into the switching unit 3 in the order of high priority" (Column 4, lines 9-11) and "a newly arrived high priority packet IP3 can overtake the previously arrived low priority packet IP2 at the input line interface" (Column 6, lines 13-15).

- 5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Nakayama et al.** (U.S. Patent 6,907,001) and in view of **Erimli et al.** (U.S. Patent 6,842,423) as applied to claims 1-17, and 19-20 and further in view of **Wynne et al.** (U.S. Patent 6,959,002).
- 6. Regarding claim 18, **Nakayama** and **Erimli** do not explicitly teach a queuing system comprising:
- A) wherein the queuing system is implemented as a logic circuit.

Wynne, however, teaches "wherein the queuing system is implemented as a logic circuit" as "When departure scheduler 46 (FIG. 4) operates in its port shaping mode as selected by input MODE control data to a queue control logic circuit 82" (Column 15, lines 8-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching

Wynne's would have allowed Nakayama's and Erimli's to provide a hardwired circuit

to optionally allocate forwarding bandwidth to flow queues with or without having to shape the forwarding rates of output resources", as noted by **Wynne** (Column 3, lines 51-54).

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent 5,309,432 issued to **Kanakia** on 03 May 1994. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (e.g., methods to switch packets based on priority).
- U.S. Patent 6,091,709 issued to **Harrison et al.** on 18 July 2000. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (e.g., methods to switch packets based on priority).
- U.S. Patent 5,541,912 issued to **Choudhury et al.** on 30 July 1996. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (e.g., methods to switch packets based on priority).
- U.S. Patent 7,058,064 issued to **Nemirovsky et al.** on 06 June 2006. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (e.g., methods to switch packets based on priority).

Application/Control Number: 10/710,772 Page 24

Art Unit: 2168

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahesh Dwivedi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2731. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:20 am – 4:40 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo can be reached (571) 272-3642. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mahesh Dwivedi
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2168

August 08, 2006

Leslie Wong

Page 25

Application/Control Number: 10/710,772

Art Unit: 2168

Primary Examiner